

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ARISA MAE SCHOMBURG,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
MARCUS APODACA, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:20-cv-00333-RFB-NJK

Order

To date, the parties have not filed a stipulated discovery plan as required by Local Rule 26-1(a). The parties are hereby **ORDERED** to file, no later than November 9, 2020, either (1) a joint proposed discovery plan; or (2) a status report explaining why a proposed discovery plan should not be filed at this time.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 26, 2020



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The case law is very clear that the pendency of a motion to remand does not automatically stay the parties' discovery obligations. *E.g., Anoruo v. Valley Health Sys., LLC*, 2018 WL 1785866, at *3 (D. Nev. Apr. 13, 2018).